Appendix D CDCP 2021 Compliance Table DEVELOPMENT IN BUSINESS SITE SPECIFIC

Where appropriate, only the relevant provisions are addressed within the assessment table.

F	Relevant Control	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Objectives
Part C - Develo	pment in Business Zones	•	-
2 Relationship with SEPP 65 and Apartment Design Guide	The residential apartment component of shop top housing developments in the Cumberland City LGA will be assessed in accordance with the ADG. The ADG takes precedence over a DCP. Therefore, the DCP provisions do not repeat or seek to vary any controls under the ADG. Where there are inconsistencies between the controls set out in this DCP and the ADG, the ADG shall prevail.	The Apartment Design Guide has been used to address the shop top housing component of the development. There are variations but these are approved. The variations are addressed within the main body of the report.	Generally satisfactory.
3.1 Lot size and frontage	C1. Unless otherwise stated as site specific controls in this DCP, the minimum lot frontage for shop top housing development within Zone B2 Local Centre and Zone B4 Mixed Use shall be: • up to 3 storeys: 20m; and • 4 storeys or greater: 30m.	The site is provided with two zones being: B4 Mixed Use. B6 Enterprise Corridor. The site encompasses one city block. There are no concerns in relation to lot size or lot width.	N/A
	C2. Lot size and frontage shall provide an appropriate site configuration that achieves: • adequate car parking area and manoeuvring for vehicles in accordance with AS2890; • ground level frontage that is activated and not dominated by access apertures to car parking areas; and • the required setbacks and building separation set out by this DCP or the Apartment Design Guide.	The lot size and widths approved are not subject to any change.	Satisfactory.
	C3. Council may require the consolidation of more than 1 existing land holding to be undertaken in order to meet all	Not required for this application.	N/A

	the requirements of this development control plan.		
	C4. Commercial development is not permitted on battleaxe lots.	Not applicable for the modification application.	N/A
	C5. In instances where lot amalgamation in order to meet the requirements of this DCP cannot be achieved, refer to Part A3 of this DCP.	Not applicable for the modification application.	N/A
3.2 Setbacks and separation	C1. Front Setback: Nil (except for B1 Neighbourhood Centre zoned land). A greater setback may be required to align with the predominant street setback.	Street setbacks are not subject to any change. The setbacks have been established under the parent consent being Development Consent 2015/220/1. A detailed assessment is not required.	Yes
		The site when complete will be bordered by 4 roads and as such, the development has frontages to 4 roads.	
		This is an integrated development incorporating Building A facing west, Building B facing south and east and Buildings C and D facing north towards Neil Street.	
	C2. For B2 and B4 zones, or unless otherwise stated in site specific controls within this DCP, a street wall height (i.e. podium height) of 3 storeys with a zero setback to the street is required.	The street wall heights and podiums are not being altered. Street setbacks are not subject to change.	
	C3. A minimum 3m setback shall be provided for levels above the street wall height for the podium	The street setbacks are not subject to change.	Street setbacks are satisfactory.
	C4. Levels above street wall height are to be setback to ensure visual separation. This may be achieved through upper level setbacks, material	Satisfactory. No changes are identified to the setbacks of the upper levels of either tower.	Satisfactory.

	veniences en allem 1 o d o d l		
	variances and/or horizontal recesses.		
	C5. Council may require alternative street wall heights and setbacks where compatibility with the existing prevailing built form within the immediate context can be demonstrated or is necessary.	This will not be required. All street setbacks were established under the primary development consent 2015/220/1.	Yes
	C6. Where a site adjoins any residential zone (and not separated by a road), the side setback shall be a minimum of 3m.	The site occupies an entire city block and will have a frontage to 4 roads. There are no issues raised in relation to side or rear setbacks or proximity to residential zones.	Yes
	C7. Rear Setback: 15% of site length where boundary adjoins a residential development or a residential zone.	There are no issues raised in relation to side or rear setbacks given that the site will have 4 street frontages.	Yes
3.3 Landscaping and open space	C1. Landscape reinforces the architectural character of the street and positively contributes to maintaining a consistent streetscape character.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C2. Landscaping is to form an integral part of the overall design concept.	Satisfactory. Minimal change proposed.	Yes
	C3. At grade car parking areas, particularly large areas, shall be landscaped so as to break up large expanses of paving. Landscaping shall be required around the perimeter and within large car parks.	There is no car parking at grade within the development.	N/A
	C4. In open parking areas, 1 shade tree per 10 spaces shall be planted within the parking area.	There is no car parking at grade within the development.	N/A
	C5. Fencing shall be integrated as part of the landscaping theme so as to minimise visual impacts and to provide associated site security.	No fencing is proposed or required.	N/A
	C6. Paving and other hard surfaces shall be consistent with architectural elements.	Generally, there is no change to the original approval given.	Yes
	C7. For developments with communal open space, a	There is no change to the approval given.	Yes

	garden, maintenance and storage area are to be provided, which is efficient and convenient to use and is connected to water for irrigation and drainage. C8. Street trees shall be planted at a rate of 1 tree per 10 lineal metres of street frontage, even in cases where a site has more than 1 street frontage, excluding frontage to laneways.	The landscaping plans are showing at least 25 trees being planted at grade along the street frontages.	Yes
	C9. Street tree planning shall be consistent with the relevant Public Domain Plan, strategy, plan, guideline or policy.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C10. Significant existing street trees shall be conserved. Where there is an absence of existing street trees, additional trees shall be planted to ensure that the existing streetscape is maintained and enhanced.	There are no street trees existing on or adjacent to the site that could be conserved.	N/A
	C11. Vehicular driveways shall be located a minimum of 3m from the outside edge of the trunk measured 1m above the existing ground level of any street tree to be retained.	The approved driveways servicing the development are not subject to significant change.	Yes
	C12. Services shall be located to preserve significant trees.	Not applicable to the modification application.	N/A
	C13. At the time of planting, street trees shall have a minimum container size of 200 litres and a minimum height of 3.5m, subject to species availability.	The landscape plans	Yes
	C14. Where buildings are setback from the street, the resulting open space shall provide usable open space for pedestrians.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C15. Open space areas are to be paved in a manner to match existing paving or to suit the architectural treatment of the proposed development.	Satisfactory.	Yes
3.4 Public art	C1. Public art is encouraged to be provided within the business centres, in accordance with Council's relevant adopted Policy.	There is no change to the approval given.	Yes

	C2. Public art provided shall develop the cultural identity of the community and reflect the culture of the community.	There is no change to the approval given.	Yes
	C3. Artworks shall be integrated into the design of buildings and the landscape.	There is no change to the approval given.	Yes
3.5 Streetscapes	C1. New shopfronts shall be constructed in materials which complement the existing or emerging character of the area.	The approved shop fronts are being modified but the general location remains the same.	Yes
		Materials are modified but consistency is retained.	
	C2. Development shall provide direct access between the footpath and the shop.	Satisfactory. Improvements are being made such as more use of ramps within the development.	Yes
	C3. Security bars, and roller shutters are not permitted; however, transparent security grilles of lightweight material may be used.	No security bars or grills are proposed for the commercial premises.	N/A
	C4. Signage shall be minimised and coordinated to contribute to a more harmonious and pleasant character for the locality.	No signage is proposed as part of the modification application.	N/A
	C5. Require buildings at visually significant locations to be well designed and respond to the different characteristics of the streets the address.	Satisfactory. Tower A is the signature building which is situated along the western side of the site.	Yes
		Tower A has a curved slender appearance when viewed from the north which is not altered. The height of the building is increased but the built form of the building remains generally the same as that approved.	
		While a slender appearance is presented for Tower A at the north west corner, it presents as a solid urban built form along its western façade.	

		There is no significant change to the appearance of the primary tower. The general appearance of the development is not	
		altered.	
	C6. Development on corner sites will be required to accommodate a splay corner to facilitate improved traffic conditions.	There is no change to the approval given.	Yes
	C7. Buildings on corners must address both frontages to the street and/or public realm to: • articulate street corners by massing and building articulation, to add variety and	This is achieved for the entire development. Tower A is the signature tower within the development.	Yes
	interest to the street; • present each frontage of a corner building as a main street frontage, reflect the architecture, hierarchy and characteristics of the streets they address, and align and reflect the corner conditions; and	The height of the development being building blocks A, B C and D is increasing but the built form including setbacks, mass and appearance does not significantly change.	
	development on corner sites will require land to be dedicated to accommodate a splay corner to facilitate improved traffic conditions.	The shape of the allotment of land is not subject to change and matters regarding splays and setbacks were established under the primary consent 2015/220/1.	
3.6 Building use	C1. Ground floor uses in business zones are to comprise non-residential uses.	This is achieved however: There are two ground floor apartments of Building B being removed which supports Part 3.6.	Yes
		There are five ground level apartments facing north along Neil Street. These are approved and minimal change is occurring.	

		Notwithstanding the approved ground floor apartments of buildings C and D, the modified development is consistent with Part 3.6.	
3.7 Façade design, shopfront and materials	C1. Facade proportions and vertical and horizontal emphasis shall be appropriate to the scale of development and its interaction with the streetscape. Vertical emphasis shall be incorporated above awnings.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C2. Building facades at street level along primary streets and public places consist of a minimum of 80% for windows/glazed areas and building and tenancy entries.	Satisfactory. The development as modified presents a satisfactory level of glazing to the streetscape elevations.	Yes
	C3. Visible light reflectivity from building materials used on the facades of new buildings shall not exceed 20%.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C4. Building services, such as drainage pipes, shall be coordinated and integrated with overall façade and balcony design.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C5. Ventilation louvres and carpark entry doors shall be integrated with the design of the overall façade.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C6. Security devices fitted to building entrances and windows shall be transparent to allow for natural surveillance and made of light weight material.	No change to the approval is occurring.	Yes
	C7. The ground floor level must have active uses facing streets and public open spaces.	This is achieved for all the street frontages.	Yes
	C8. Retail outlets and restaurants are located at the street frontage on the ground level.	This is achieved for all the street frontages.	Yes
	C9. Where possible, offices should be located at first floor level or above.	For Tower A, there is a first storey office floor facing Neil Street. The office occupies the entire floor.	Yes

	C10. A separate and defined entry shall be provided for each use within a mixed use development.	This is achieved and no change to the original approval granted.	Yes
	C11. Street and tenancy numbers shall be located on shopfronts and awnings and shall be clearly visible from the street.	There is no change to the approval granted.	Yes
	C12. Solid roller shutters and security bars, either internal or external, that block out or obscure windows or entrances, are not permitted.	No security bars or grills are proposed for the commercial premises.	Yes
	C13. High quality design, construction and materials shall be implemented to ensure the building has a long life and requires low maintenance.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C14. Building materials and finishes complement the finishes predominating in the area. Different materials, colours or textures may be used to emphasise certain features of the building.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C15. New buildings shall incorporate a mix of solid (i.e. masonry concrete) and glazed materials, consistent with the character of buildings in the locality. Active street frontages are to maximise the use of glazing.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C16. All street frontage windows located at ground floor level are to be clear glazing.	Satisfactory.	Yes
	C17. Building finishes should not result in causing glare that creates a nuisance and hazard for pedestrians and motorists in the centre.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C18. For advertising on shopfronts, refer to Part G1 of this DCP	No signage is proposed as part of the modification application.	N/A
3.8 Ceiling height	C1. The minimum finished floor level (FFL) to finished ceiling level (FCL) in a commercial building, or the commercial component of a building, shall be as follows:	For Tower Building A Ground floor 4.2 metres as floor to floor height. First floor 4.2 metres as floor to floor heights.	Yes

	• 3.5m for ground level (regardless of the type of development); and • 3.3m for all commercial/retail levels above ground level.	The minimum floor to ceiling height is achieved. For Tower Building B Ground floor 5.1 metres floor to floor height. The minimum floor to ceiling height is achieved. For the commercial tenancy within Building D 4.7 metres floor to floor height. The minimum floor to ceiling height is achieved. As demonstrated within the report, a reduction in the floor to floor heights is recommended for Tower Buildings A and B to reduce the variation to the overall height of the buildings. This is addressed as a condition attached to the recommendation for Panel consideration. Floor to ceiling heights of	Yes
	minimum ceiling heights for all residential levels above ground floor in mixed use developments.	apartments are satisfactory.	res
3.9 Roof design	C1. Roof design shall be integrated into the overall	Satisfactory.	Yes
	building design. C2. Design of the roof shall achieve the following: • concealment of lift overruns and service plants; • presentation of an interesting skyline; • enhancing views from adjoining developments and public places; and • complement the scale of the building and surrounding development.	Satisfactory.	Yes

	C3. Roof forms shall not be designed to add to the perceived height and bulk of the building.	It is considered that the proposed roof form is satisfactory to support.	Yes
	C4. Landscaped and communal open space areas on flat roofs shall incorporate shade structures and wind screens.	This is achieved via the use of trees being used for the planter boxes.	Yes
	C5. Communal open space, lift overruns and service plants shall be setback from the building edge so as to be concealed.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C6. Roof design is to respond to the orientation of the site, through using eaves and skillion roofs to respond to sun access.	This is achieved.	Yes
	C7. Consideration should be given to facilitating the use of roofs for sustainable functions, such as: • installing rainwater tanks for water conservation.	The rooftop areas comprise common open space, landscaped areas, seating and utility features.	Yes
	 orient and angle roof surfaces suitable for photovoltaic applications; and allow for future innovative design solutions such as water features or green roofs. 	This softens the rooftop area and promotes the use of the area by the residents.	
3.10 Awnings	There are awnings approved who the awnings provide a degree of and within the development. The with Buildings A and B.	shelter for visitors and pede:	strians external
3.11 Visual and acoustic privacy	C1. New development shall be located and oriented to maximise visual privacy between buildings on site and adjacent buildings, by providing adequate building setbacks and separation.	Privacy is assessed as being satisfactory between apartments due to orientation and position of the building with respect to the road layout.	Yes
	C2. Residential components of mixed use developments are to comply with the controls in Part B of this DCP and the Apartment Design Guide (as applicable).	This is achieved. Generally, the approved setbacks between the towers are not changing.	Yes
	C3. Conflicts between noise, outlook and views are to be resolved by using design measures, such as double	Satisfactory.	Yes

glazing, operable screened balconies and continuous walls to ground level courtyards, where they do not conflict with streetscape or other amenity requirements. C4. Where commercial/office uses and residential uses are	Satisfactory.	Yes
located adjacent to each other, air conditioning units, buildings entries and the design and layout of areas serving after hours uses shall be located and designed to minimise any acoustic conflicts.	Satisfactory	Yes
C5. Developments shall be designed to minimise the impact of noise associated with uses whose hours may extend outside of normal business hours, including restaurants and cafes. Operation includes loading/unloading of goods/materials, and the use of plant and equipment at a proposed commercial premise.	Satisfactory.	res
C6. Mixed use developments shall be designed to locate driveways, carports or garages away from bedrooms.	is proposed.	Yes
C7. Mechanical plant must be visually and acoustically isolated from residential uses.	Satisfactory.	Yes
C8. New development shall comply with the provisions of the relevant acts, regulations, environmental planning instruments, Australian Standards and guidelines as applicable for noise, vibration and quality assurance. This includes: •Development Near Rail Corridors and Busy Roads, NSW Department of Planning, December 2008 — Interim Guidelines; • NSW Noise Policy for Industry; •Interim Guideline for the Assessment of Noise from Rail Infrastructure Projects; and	Council's Environment and Health Officers, a verification report will need to be provided for the acoustic report prepared by Rodney Stevens Acoustics (Report R150061R3)	Yes

	NSW Road Noise Policy	This is addressed as a condition attached to the recommendation made.	
	C9. Where a site adjoins a school, place of public worship or public open space, the building design will: • incorporate an appropriate transition in scale and character along the site boundary(s); and • present an appropriately detailed facade and landscaping in the context of the adjoining land use. This interface shall be identified in the site analysis plan and reflected in building design.	The appearance of the building from all current and future street frontages is satisfactory.	Yes
	C10. The potential for overlooking of playing areas of schools shall be minimised by siting, orientation or screening.	There are no schools adjacent to or close to the site.	N/A
	C11. Fencing along boundaries shared with public open space shall have a minimum transparency of 50%.	No fencing is proposed as part of the modification application.	N/A
	C12. Sight lines from adjacent development to public open space shall be maintained and/or enhanced. Direct, secure private access to public open space is encouraged.	Satisfactory and maintained.	Yes
3.12 Hours of operation	Hours of operation do not need to the commercial tenancies show need to be lodged to the Cour tenancy as per the requirements consent issued. Part 3.12 is not	n on the plans. A separate noil for determination for the of Condition 14 of the original transfer.	application will e use of each
3.13 Solar access	C1. Developments shall be designed to maximise northern aspects for residential and commercial uses.		Yes
	C2. The living rooms and private open spaces for at least 70% of dwellings on neighbouring sites shall receive a minimum of 3 hours of direct sunlight between 8am and 4pm in midwinter.	The development presents an increase in the level of shadows created to the south. As shown: The internal podium courtyard level (Ground Floor) is already in shadow throughout May / June	Yes

in shadowing is not excessive. An improvement occurring because the ground floor of Building is В now wholly commercial / retail. There are now no apartments this on level. The shadow issue associated with the apartments is removed. There is an increase in shadowing to the south towards Terminal Place and a car park there are but particular allotments unreasonably affected. The site occupies an entire city block and will be separated from other developments by roads. This improves amenity and daylight access to future developments within the locality. As demonstrated within the report, a reduction in the floor to floor heights is recommended for Tower Buildings A and B to reduce the variation to the overall height of the buildings. This in turn if approved would result in a minor reduction in the degree of shadowing towards the south. This is addressed as a condition attached to the recommendation for Panel consideration. C3. A minimum of 50% of public This is achieved. Yes open spaces and a minimum of

	40% of school playground areas are to receive 3 hours of daylight between 9am and 3pm in mid-winter. C4. Developments shall be designed to control shading and glare. C5. Shadow diagrams (plan and elevation) shall accompany development applications for buildings, to demonstrate that the proposal will not reduce sunlight to less than 3 hours between 8 am and 4 pm on 21 June.	Satisfactory. The shadow diagrams are satisfactory.	Yes
3.14 Natural ventilation	C1. Natural ventilation is incorporated into the building design. C2. Orient buildings to	Satisfactory. Satisfactory.	Yes
3.15 Building	maximise prevailing breezes. C1. Windows shall be designed	The is achieved where	Yes
maintenance	to enable cleaning from inside the building.	practical.	
	C2. Durable materials, which are easily cleaned and graffiti resistant, are to be selected.	There is no change to the approval given.	Yes
	C3. Building maintenance systems are to be incorporated and integrated into the design of the building form, roof and façade.	Satisfactory.	Yes
3.16 Energy efficiency	C1. Improve the control of mechanical space heating and cooling by designing heating/ cooling systems to target only those spaces which require heating or cooling, not the whole building.	•	Yes
	C2. Improve the efficiency of hot water systems by: • encouraging the use of solar powered hot water systems. Solar and heat pump systems must be eligible for at least 24 Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) and domestic type gas systems must have a minimum 3.5 star energy efficiency rating; • insulating hot water systems; and	A BASIX Certificate issued for the development outlines that the development achieves a high level of compliance for water and energy requirements.	Yes

	 installing water saving devices, such as flow regulators, 3 stars Water Efficiency Labelling and Standards Scheme (WELS Scheme) rated shower heads, dual flush toilets and tap aerators. C3. Reduce reliance on artificial lighting and design lighting systems to target only those spaces which require lighting at any particular 'off-peak' time, not the whole building. C4. Incorporate a timing system 	Satisfactory. Satisfactory.	Yes
	to automatically control the use of lighting throughout the building.	,	
	C5. All non-residential development Class 5-9 will need to comply with the Building Code of Australia energy efficiency provisions.	This is addressed as conditions attached to the original consent.	Yes
	C6. An Energy Efficiency Report from a suitably qualified consultant that demonstrates a commitment to achieve no less than 4 stars under the Australian Building Greenhouse Rating Scheme or equivalent must be provided for all commercial and industrial development with a construction cost of over \$5 million.	A BASIX Certificate issued for the development outlines that the development achieves a high level of compliance for water and energy requirements.	Yes
3.17 Water efficiency	C1. New developments shall connect to recycled water if serviced by a dual reticulation system for permitted non potable uses, such as toilet flushing, irrigation, car washing, firefighting and other suitable purposes.	This is not available at the location.	N/A
	C2. Where a property is not serviced by a dual reticulation system, development shall include an onsite rainwater harvesting system or an onsite reusable water resource for permitted non potable uses, such as toilet flushing, irrigation, car washing,	A rainwater tank system has not been approved for the site. There are no changes proposed.	N/A

	firefighting and other quitable		
	firefighting and other suitable purposes. Rainwater tanks		
	shall be installed as part of all		
	new development in		
	accordance with the following:		
	• the rainwater tank shall		
	comply with the relevant		
	Australian Standards;		
	• the rainwater tank shall be		
	constructed, treated or finished		
	in a non-reflective material that		
	blends in with the overall tones		
	and colours of the subject and		
	surrounding development;		
	• rainwater tanks shall be		
	permitted in basements		
	provided that the tank meets		
	applicable Australian		
	Standards;		
	• the suitability of any type of		
	rainwater tanks erected within		
	the setback area of		
	development shall be assessed		
	on an individual case by case		
	basis. Rainwater tanks shall not		
	be located within the front		
	setback; and the overflow from rainwater		
	tanks shall discharge to the site		
	stormwater disposal system.		
	For details, refer to the		
	Stormwater Drainage Part G4		
	of this DCP.		
3.18 Wind	C1. Site design for tall buildings	The position of the tower	Yes
mitigation	(towers) shall:	building A is satisfactory.	
	• set tower buildings back from		
	lower structures built at the	An updated wind	
	street frontage to protect	assessment report	
	pedestrians from strong wind	prepared by SLR	
	downdrafts at the base of the	Reference 610.30747-	
	tower;	R01 and dated June 2022	
	ensure that tower buildings are well are and from each other.	identifies that there are issues in relation to	
	are well spaced from each other	winds.	
	to allow breezes to penetrate local centres;		
	• consider the shape, location	A series of	
	and height of buildings to satisfy	recommendations is	
	wind criteria for public safety	provided at Part 8 (Page	
	and comfort at ground level;	27 to 42) to reduce wind	
	and	impacts.	
	ensure usability of open		
	terraces and balconies.		

		The wind assessment	
		study report will need to	
		be included into any consent issued.	
	C2. A Wind Effects Report	The comments provided	Yes
	including results of a wind	above at Subpart C1 are	. 55
	tunnel test is to be submitted	relevant to Subpart C2.	
	with the DA for all buildings	·	
	greater than 35m in height.		
3.19 Food and	A food and drink premises is not		
drink _.	address Part 3.19 within the ass	essment table given that no	food and drink
premises	premises are proposed.		
3.20 Safety	The safety and security measure		ng altered. It is
and security	considered that no detailed asse		in the form of
3.21 Pedestrian	Pedestrian access has been im ramps and improved connections		iii tile lorm of
access and	ramps and improved connections	5.	
building entry			
3.22	The modification application doe	s not propose an arcade, a l	aneway and or
Pedestrian	a new road. It is considered unne	• •	_
links,	assessment report.	,	
arcades,	•		
laneways and			
new streets			
3.23 B6	C1. Commercial development	This is achieved.	Yes
Enterprise	shall be located at least at		
Corridor Zone	street level, fronting the primary		
	street and where possible the		
	secondary street. C2. Minimum front setbacks for	The front setback of the	No
	B6 Enterprise Corridor zones	development is not being	Approved.
	shall be 5m.	altered. A variation is	
	Shan be em	identified but this is	Calloraciony.
		approved for which no	
		change is proposed.	
	C3. Where development in a B6	There is no laneway	N/A
	Enterprise Corridor zone has	situated at the rear of the	
	access to a rear laneway,	site.	
	development may have a rear		
3.24 Parking	setback of 4m at ground level. C1. Refer to Part G3 of this	Car parking has been	Yes
J.24 Faikilly	DCP, or section 3J-1 of the	assessed as being	169
	ADG for car parking provision	satisfactory and	
	requirements.	compliant with the	
	,	relevant provisions.	
3.25 Vehicle	C1. Vehicle access will comply	Satisfactory.	Yes
access	with the provisions set out in		
	Part G3 of this DCP.		
	ess Site Specific		
Part F2-6 - Meri	rylands Town Centre		

3.1 Urban	The success of the centre plan	Satisfactory.	Yes
design	is reliant on the achievement of		
strategies	these strategies.		
	- Strengthen the economic and		
	employment role of Merrylands.		
	- Provide for an active and		
	vibrant centre.		
	- Ensure buildings are designed		
	to maximise appropriate		
	amenity outcomes for the		
	centre.		
	- Ensure development design promotes the principles of		
	ecologically sustainable		
	development.		
	- Promote public transport use,		
	cycling and walking and reduce		
	reliance on private car travel.		
	- Achieve urban design that		
	acknowledge the role of		
	Merrylands within Cumberland		
	City.		
	- Maintain and create clear		
	linkages within the centre and		
	with adjoining residential precincts.		
3.2 Public	A number of new intersections,	The modification	Yes
domain	roads, laneways and	application does not	. ••
	accessways are proposed	impact upon any new	
	under this plan, as indicated in	roads that have been	
	table 1 and in Figure 2 Road	constructed or proposed	
	widening.	to be constructed to	
	Figure 3 includes footpaths,	facilitate the development	
	required new pedestrian	across the Precinct.	
	access and crossings and bicycle access.		
	Figure 4 indicates locations for		
	open spaces and landscaping,		
	including indicative locations for		
	existing and proposed street		
	tree planting.		
	Indicative street sections are		
0.0 Parital's se	provided in Section 2.3.4.		
3.3 Building en 3.3.1 Site	velope C1. Amalgamation of lots in	There are no concerns in	Yes
amalgamation	accordance with Figure 13 is	relation to lot	1 69
& site	required for redevelopment.	amalgamation.	
frontage	C2. Where amalgamation is not	The allotment exhibits	Yes
3	required by this plan, the	satisfactory dimensions.	
	minimum site width for	•	
	redevelopment is 20m.		

C3. The minimum site achieved shall determine height of buildings (in sign accordance with the below. Site width shall measured at the frontage.	ine the storeys) e table	The site is more than 32 metres in width. Building A comprises 2 levels of retail / commercial floors at the ground level and level 1, 18 levels of apartments	No Considered satisfactory.
Site width (storeys) 20m Max 3 stored Max 8 stored Max 20	oreys oreys	above and a common area within the rooftop area. This results in a 21 storey building. This is considered acceptable given that a benefit is provided for residents that does not include habitable floor	
C4. Sites must not be let that they are physically to reasonably develop storey building in account the controls in Second 3 of this Part.	unable a three ordance	areas. Compliance is achieved.	Yes
C5. Development muprevent the provisional laneways, accessway vehicular access locate prevented, or cannachieved in accordance this plan.	on of ys or ions is ot be	Compliance is achieved.	Yes
C6. Where ramalgamations cannot achieved: • Applicants are to not with all affected powners prior to the lod of a development application and attempt to achieve preferred development. • In instances amalgamation cannot achieve (because a lanchooses not to take reasonable offer) the foinformation must be supposed.	where downer e-up a collowing bmitted opment		Yes

	romaining sites that were to be		
	remaining sites that were to be developed in conjunction with		
	the applicant's properties.		
	These are to be undertaken by		
	two independent Valuers		
	registered with the Australian		
	Institute of Valuers, and -		
	evidence that a reasonable		
	offer has been made to the		
	owner(s) of the affected sites to		
	purchase and valuation reports		
	C7. Where amalgamation (as	There are no issues in	N/A
	required) is not achieved the	relation to Subpart C6.	14//
	applicants must show that the	Totalion to Cappair Co.	
	remaining sites, which are not		
	included in the consolidation		
	will still be able to achieve the		
	development outcome		
	prescribed in this DCP (i.e.		
	minimum site frontage of 20m).		
	This includes achieving the		
	required vehicular access,		
	basement parking and built		
	form.		
3.3.2 Building	C1. Maximum permitted	The only concern under	No.
& ceiling	building height in storeys* shall	Subpart C1 is the height	Can
height	be in accordance with the table	of Building A.	condition.
	below.		
		Building A is 21 storeys in	
	Height (m) Storeys	height or 71.05 metres	
	10 1	high to the highest point	
	12.5 2	of the roof ridge.	
	14 3	O a manually of the analysis of	
	17 4	Generally, the building	
	20 5	should be 68 metres in	
	23 6	height for 21 storeys.	
	26 7	As shown within the	
	29 8	planning assessment	
	32 9	report, a reduction in the	
	38 11	floor to floor heights is	
	41 12	recommended for Tower	
	50 15	Building A and B to	
	53 16	reduce the variation to the	
	65 20	overall height of the	
		buildings. This in turn if	
		supported by the Panel	
		would result in the	
		variation to the control	
		being much reduced.	

		This is addressed as a				
		This is addressed as a				
		condition attached to the recommendation for				
		Panel consideration.				
	C2 Each staroy shall have the		Voo			
	C2. Each storey shall have the	Satisfactory.	Yes			
	following minimum floor to					
	ceiling heights:					
	• ground floor - 3.5m;					
	• first floor (regardless of use) -					
	3.3m; and					
	• all other floors - 2.7m.					
	C3. Development in the centre	Satisfactory.	Yes			
	shall establish a consistent	<u> _, </u>				
	building height transition, from	The planning controls				
	the edges of the centre, to the	allow the built form as				
	core of the centre.	proposed for the site.				
	C4. Ensure the achievement of	Satisfactory.	Yes			
	daylight access to public open					
	spaces in accordance with					
	Section 2.6.					
3.3.3 Street	C1. Street setbacks in	The street setbacks have	Yes on the			
setbacks,	accordance with Figure 14 are	been established under	grounds that			
road widening	required for redevelopment.	the primary development	no changes			
and street		consent issued. There is	are occurring.			
frontage	2.5 metres required.	no change to any of the				
heights		approved street setbacks.				
	There are no other provisions under Part 3.3.3 relevant to the development					
	application.					
3.3.4 Building	C1. There is no maximum	Noted.	Noted.			
depth and	building depth requirement for					
length	floors used as commercial					
	premises.					
	promises.					
	C2. The maximum permissible	There are portions of	Yes			
	•	There are portions of Buildings A and B that	Yes On the			
	C2. The maximum permissible	<u> </u>				
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for	Buildings A and B that	On the			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18	On the grounds that			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are	On the grounds that the depths			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes	On the grounds that the depths			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m.	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified.	On the grounds that the depths are approved.			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the	On the grounds that the depths are approved.			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have	On the grounds that the depths are approved.			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths	On the grounds that the depths are approved.			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m.	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres.	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on the 2nd and 3rd storey levels	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two apartments of Building A	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes Yes These are			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on the 2nd and 3rd storey levels are limited in depth to 8m from	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two apartments of Building A situated on the 2 nd and 3 rd	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes Yes These are			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on the 2nd and 3rd storey levels are limited in depth to 8m from the glass line and 11m from the	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two apartments of Building A situated on the 2 nd and 3 rd levels that have depths	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes Yes These are			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on the 2nd and 3rd storey levels are limited in depth to 8m from the glass line and 11m from the outer edge of the building	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two apartments of Building A situated on the 2 nd and 3 rd levels that have depths exceeding 8 metres. This	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes Yes These are			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on the 2nd and 3rd storey levels are limited in depth to 8m from the glass line and 11m from the outer edge of the building	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two apartments of Building A situated on the 2 nd and 3 rd levels that have depths exceeding 8 metres. This is calculated at 8.5	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes Yes These are			
	C2. The maximum permissible building plan depth for residential accommodation is 18m. C3. The maximum permissible building envelope depth for residential accommodation is 22m. C4. Residential apartments on the 2nd and 3rd storey levels are limited in depth to 8m from the glass line and 11m from the outer edge of the building	Buildings A and B that exceed a depth of 18 metres. These are approved and no changes are identified. The buildings forming the development have building envelope depths of less than 22 metres. There are two apartments of Building A situated on the 2 nd and 3 rd levels that have depths exceeding 8 metres. This is calculated at 8.5	On the grounds that the depths are approved. Yes Yes These are			

		anartments have been	
		apartments have been improved in terms of their circulation.	
	C5. Where office premises are	This is complied with for	Yes
	proposed, all points on an office	the first storey office floor	. 55
	floor should be no more than	associated within Tower	
	15m from a source of daylight.	Building A.	
	C6. The maximum horizontal	Tower Building A	
	length of any building above the		
	podium shall not exceed 50m.	The building appears as a slender design when viewed from the north west corner being the intersection of Neil Street with Pitt Street.	Yes on the grounds that the variation is approved and no change is occurring.
		The western elevation of the building is approved at 59 metres in length.	occurring.
		A variation of 9 metres or 18% is identified. There is no change to this when assessed against the original consents.	
		Block B	
		The building complies being the SE elevation.	Yes
		The eastern elevation complies.	
		Block C and D	
		The northern building encompassing blocks C and D complies.	Yes
	C7. All residential and mixed use developments shall be, or substantially contain, dual aspect apartments.	This is achieved where possible and consistent with the original consent issued.	Yes
3.3.5 Setbacks and separation	C1. Where the street setback is 0m, a continuous built edge shall be provided up to the 3rd storey, regardless of use.	The setbacks have already been approved by the Panel. The setbacks are not subject to change.	Yes
	C2. Where a laneway or accessway is required the minimum rear setback shall be 8m, unless shown otherwise.	Not applicable as no laneway is required.	N/A

	00 0 :			la	1
	C3. Setbac		•	Street setbacks are not	Yes
	streets (abo	•	,	subject to any change.	
	property line	e shall b	e provided	-	
	as below:			The setbacks are	
	01	10.4		consistent with previous	
	Storeys		back (m)	consents.	
	4-8	3m			
	9-20	6m			2.112
			etback to	Not applicable to the	N/A
	Terminal P			development application.	
	Lane will		cepted for		
	properties 2				
	135-137 Me			The 190 have 1919	N1/A
	C5. Minimu			The site does not exhibit	N/A
	boundaries		•	side boundaries. Subpart	
	accordance	with	the table	C5 cannot be applied to	
	below:			the development in this instance.	
	Building	Storov	Side	motance.	
	Building	Storey	setback		
	uses		(m)		
	Non	1-3	0		
	habitable	4-8	3		
	rooms &	9-20	6		
	commerc	9-20	0		
	ial (no				
	windows)				
	Habitable	4	6		
	rooms/	5-8	9		
	balconies	9-20	12		
	Habitable	4	4.5		
	rooms/	5-8	6.5		
	balconies	9-20	9		
	& non	0 20			
	habitable				
	rooms				
3.3.6 Active	Active fronta	ages		This is achieved or	Yes
frontages,	C1. Provide	•	rontages at	retained as per the	
street	street level		•	original consent for all	
address and	streets, lan	eways a	and public	street frontages.	
building use	places, as i	dentified	on Figure		
	17.				
	C2. Active f		consist of	This is achieved for both	Yes
	the following	•		the street frontages and	
	shopfront;			for the internal podium	
	premises su	ch as Re	staurant or	courtyard on the ground	
	Café;			level.	
	• entrance to	-	-		
	commercial	_		The commercial	
	• customer			tenancies on the ground	
	receptions (wnere v	risidle from	level facing the internal	
	the street).			courtyard area are	

	provided with glazing and access provisions. This will ensure an active ground level for the whole building. This is further reinforced by the fact that three pedestrian walkways are provided from the adjoining streets which converge into the courtyard area.	
C3. At least 70% of street level frontages shall be transparent glazing.	Satisfactory.	Yes
C4. Blank or solid walls and the use of dark or obscured glass on active frontages are prohibited. Restaurant, cafes and the like are to consider providing openable shop fronts.	Satisfactory.	Yes
C5. Active frontages located on Merrylands Road (to Addlestone Street) and McFarlane Street should aim to provide at least 10-14 separate tenancy entries per 100m. Large developments shall provide multiple entrances.	Not applicable to the site.	N/A
C6. Solid roller shutters or the like that obscure windows and entrances are not permitted.	No solid roller shutters are proposed for any part of the complex on the ground level.	N/A
C7. Security grilles which are fixed internally to the shop front, fully retractable and are at least 50% transparent when closed, are acceptable.	No security grilles are proposed for any tenancy within the tenancy.	N/A
C8. The ground floor level of active frontages shall be at the same level as the footpath, unless otherwise required by this plan.	No change is proposed. The development is raised off the natural ground level because the site is prone to flooding. The development must be constructed at a level that is above the maximum expected flood levels.	Yes As approved

	The levels of grade and	
	The levels at grade and	
	the finished ground floor	
OO The leasting of time	level is not changing.	Vaa
C9. The location of fire	This is complied with.	Yes
escapes, service doors, plant		
equipment and the like are to be minimised on active streets.		
Street address	Satisfactory. The	Satisfactory
C10. Street address in the form	Satisfactory. The modified development is	Satisfactory.
of entries, lobbies and/or	consistent with the	
habitable rooms with clear	original approvals	
glazing are required at ground	granted.	
level, in accordance with Figure	grantoar	
17.		
C11. Direct pedestrian access	There is no change to the	Yes
off the primary street front shall	approval granted.	
be provided.		
C12. Direct 'front door' access	This is provided for the	Yes
to residential units is	apartments facing Neil	
encouraged.	Street to the north. There	
	is no change to the	
040 0	approval granted.	
C13. Open space should be	Satisfactory.	Yes
oriented to overlook pedestrian		
access points. C14. Blank walls or dark or	Satisfactory	Yes
obscured glass is not permitted.	Satisfactory.	162
Building use	This is achieved across	Yes
C15. Retail and commercial	the whole development	100
uses are to be located on at the	and consistent with the	
ground floor level for all	original approval granted.	
development within the B4	5 11 5	
zone.		
C16. Residential development	There are at least 3	No
is not permitted to be located at	apartments facing Neil	The
the ground floor level of any	Street situated on the	apartments
development within the B4	ground level that are	are approved.
zone.	located within the B4	Na akara
	zone.	No change.
	This has prior approval.	
	THIS HAS PHUL APPLOVAL.	
	A variation is identified	
	but the apartments in	
	question are not subject	
	to any significant change.	
C17. Commercial office space	The original development	Satisfactory.
or other suitable non residential	consent established a first	, l
uses must be provided at the	storey commercial floor	
first floor level of development	for Building A.	
for the entire premises street		
frontage.		

	T	I —	
		This has been retained as	
		per the consent issued.	
		The development is in	
		accordance with the	
		previous consents issued.	
		previous coriserits issued.	
		There are no other	
		commercial floor areas	
		situated on the first floor	
		of Blocks B, C and D.	
3.3.7	The provision of public open spa	·	e is not subject
Landscaping	to change from the original cons		-
and open	required.		
space	·		
3.4 Movement			
	Part 3.4 is not relevant to the	modification application a	nd thus is not
V/ 1	considered.		
Vehicle	C2. Vehicular access in the Neil	Generally, there are no	Yes
access	Street precinct shall comply	significant changes	
2.4.4 Doubing	with Figure 2.	occurring.	Vaa
3.4.4 Parking	C1. On-site parking is to be	Compliance is achieved.	Yes
	accommodated underground		
	wherever possible.	No shanna managad	Vaa
	C2. On street parking within	No change proposed.	Yes
	Neil Street shall be provided as indicated Section 2.5.		
3.5 Design and	building amenity		
3.5.1 Laneway	A laneway and arcade is not p	ronosed It is considered u	innecessary to
and arcade	assessment the development un		initioodddary to
design	acception the development and	aci i ait c.c. i.	
3.5.2	C1. Development proposals	As provided by Council's	Yes
Managing	within 60m of the south western	Environment and Health	
external noise	railway line and/or adjacent to	officers, a verification	
and vibration	Neil Street or Pitt Street must	report will need to be	
	provide a report, to be	provided for the acoustic	
	submitted with the development	report prepared by	
	application, demonstrating that	Rodney Stevens	
	the development will comply	Acoustics (Report	
	with the following criteria	R150061R3) dated 23	
	with the following criteria	11.10000 11.10) dated =0	
	with the following chiena	December 2015 to verify	
	with the following offeria	,	
	with the following offeria	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the	
	with the following offeria	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and	
	with the following chiefia	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and the conclusion in the	
	with the following chiefia	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and the conclusion in the report prepared as part of	
	with the following chiefia	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and the conclusion in the	
	with the following chiefia	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and the conclusion in the report prepared as part of DA2015/220.	
	with the following chiefia	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and the conclusion in the report prepared as part of DA2015/220. This may be addressed	
	with the following chiefia	December 2015 to verify that the modification will not alter the recommendations and the conclusion in the report prepared as part of DA2015/220.	

C2. The following Australian Standards are to be complied with: • AS 1055-1997 Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise. • AS 1259-1990 Acoustics - Sound Level Meters Part 2 Integrating – Averaging. • AS 1633-1985 Acoustics - Glossary of Terms and Related Symbols. • AS 2107-2000 Acoustics - Recommended Design Sound Levels and Reverberation Times for Building Interiors.	The comment provided above at Subpart C1 is relevant to Subpart C2.	Yes
C3. The report shall be prepared by an acoustic consultant having the technical eligibility criteria required for membership of the Association of Australian Acoustical Consultants (AAAC) and/ or grade membership of the Australian Acoustical Society (MAAS).	· ·	Yes
C4. Prior to the issues of an Occupation Certificate, a noise compliance report shall be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA) confirming that the building/s comply with the noise criteria following. The report shall be prepared by an acoustic consultant, other than the consultant responsible for the preliminary/design report, having the technical eligibility criteria required for membership of the Association of Australian Acoustical Consultants (AAAC) and/ or grad membership of the Australian Acoustical Society (MAAS).	may be attached to any favourable recommendation	Yes
C5. Acoustic reports prepared under this Plan must be prepared in accordance with the specified methodology provided in the Appendix.	Satisfactory.	Yes

	C6. Floor vibration levels in habitable rooms should comply with the criteria in British Standard BS6472: 1992 Evaluation of Human Exposure to Vibration in Buildings (1 Hz to 80 Hz). This is the vibration standard recommended by the Department of Infrastructure Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR) and the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). It is similar to AS2670.2 – 1990 but includes additional guidance in relation to intermittent vibration such as that emitted by trains.	Satisfactory.	Yes
3.5.3 Awnings	The approved awnings are retain	ned within the development.	
3.5.4 Adaptable housing	C1. Provide a total of 20% of dwellings as adaptable housing by ensuring that: • a minimum of 10% of all apartments within a development comply with AS4299-1995 Adaptable House Class A; and • a minimum of 10% of all apartments within a development comply with AS4299-1995 Adaptable	There are 73 adaptable apartments within the development. As such this reaches 20.1%.	Yes
	House Class C.		
3.5.5 Corner buildings	 C1. Generally, Corner buildings shall be designed to: articulate street corners by massing and building articulation; to add variety and interest to the street; present each frontage of a corner building as a main street frontage; reflect the architecture, hierarchy and characteristics of the streets they address; and align and reflect the corner conditions. C2. Corners identified in Figure 6 shall be emphasised through 	This is reflected across Tower Building A	Yes
	architectural design and	alongside the western	
	materials.	side of the site.	
3.6 Environmer	ntal		

Neil Street Precinct C2. Management of the redevelopment of the Neil Street Precinct must be undertaken in a whole-of-site approach. Site amalgamation and re-subdivision under this DCP is required to manage redirection of the floodway.	The site is prone to flooding and the flood risk has been addressed through previous development applications. Generally, the flood risk is not being modified.	Yes
C3. Building footprints are to be placed to allow best movement of flood waters (eg. 30m separation between buildings on the southern end of New Road (1) north).	The building footprint of the complex including the finished ground floor levels are not being modified as part of the modification application.	·
C4. Provide a 40m floodway through Neil Street Precinct, comprising roads, parks, swales and a natural creek system.	Existing. There is no change to this component within the precinct.	Satisfactory.
Stormwater C5. The peak/volume impact of stormwater on infrastructure is to be reduced by detaining/retarding it on site. Design solutions may include: • minimising impervious areas by using pervious or open pavement materials; • retaining runoff from roofs and balconies in water features as part of landscape design or for reuse or activities such as toilet flushing, car washing and garden watering; • landscape design incorporating appropriate vegetation; • minimising formal drainage systems (pipes) with vegetated flowpaths (grass swales); • infiltration or biofiltration trenches and subsoil collection systems in saline areas; • water pollution control ponds or constructed wetlands on larger developments; and • developments shall optimise the amount of deep soil zones within the site, in accordance	Stormwater is assessed by Council engineers as being satisfactory.	Yes

	C6. Stormwater quality shall be maintained through the use of the following: • litter or gross pollutant traps to capture leaves, sediment and litter should be used; • sediment filters, traps or basins for hard surfaces; and • treatment of stormwater collected in sediment traps on soils containing dispersive clays.	Stormwater is assessed by Council engineers as being satisfactory.	Yes
	C7. Where sites are next to the rail corridor, adequately dispose of or manage drainage from the development such that it is not distributed into the rail corridor unless prior approval has been obtained from Sydney Trains.	The site is not situated next to the rail corridor. There is a separation distance of more than 60 metres between the development site and the railway line.	Yes
	C8. Existing and post development flood contours are shown in Figures 18 and 19	No change is proposed.	Yes
3.7 General			
3.7.1 Public Art	C1. Public Art is encouraged to be provided within the centre, in accordance with Council's Public Art Policy.	No change is proposed.	Existing.
	C2. Public Art provided shall develop the cultural identity of the community and reflect the culture of the community.	No change is proposed.	Existing
	C3. Artworks shall be integrated into the design of buildings and the landscape.	No change is proposed.	Existing
	C4. Within the Neil Street Precinct, the following thematic areas are to be considered in the public art/design: • industrial heritage of the locality including the grain mills, brick works and railway; and • A'Becketts Creek and the natural environment.	No change is proposed.	Existing
3.7.2 Interim	C1. All minor development	Not applicable to the	N/A
development	associated with existing	modification application.	. 4// 1
20101011	buildings including but not	msames approach	
	limited to alteration and		
	additions, change of use,		
	outdoor dining, subdivision and		
	signage must not restrict or		
	prohibit an adjoining landowner		

	f 1		_
	from developing their site in accordance with this DCP.		
	C2. Development is to ensure activation of the streetscape and high urban design outcomes.	This is being achieved.	Yes
	C3. Alterations and additions must not exceed 60m2 of additional floor space on to or associated with an existing building. Only 1 application for this addition, per lot, is permissible, as from the date of adoption of this DCP.		Yes
Part F2-7 - Mer	rylands Neil Street Precinct		
3.1 General	Meet the objectives, ensure the Precinct will be characterised by a high-quality, well-designed and safe environment.	This is complied with.	Yes
3.2 Urban design	Meet the objectives, maintain and develop spaces that encourage social interaction for all people, which will contribute to people's sense of place.	This is complied with.	Yes
3.3 The structu	re plan		
3.3.1 Desired future character	The visual character of certain locations within the Precinct such as the intersection of Neil Street and New Road 1, the intersection of Pitt and Neil Streets, the intersection of Neil Street and the Neil Street Bridge are significant as they provide opportunities to position locational buildings, which will enhance the skyline of the Precinct within the broader Merrylands Centre context (Refer Figure 2).	It is considered that the visual character of the development is compliant with this Part.	Yes
3.3.2 Urban structure plan	The Structure Plan reflects and builds on the existing land uses and functions within the broader Merrylands Centre to implement the vision for Neil Street as a high-quality, well designed, safe and liveable environment (Refer Figure 3).	The development is consistent with the Structure Plan and planning controls that apply to the site.	Yes
3.4 Access net	work		
3.4.1	A detailed assessment is not re	•	network or the
Street/access network	approved road network is not su	bject to change.	
	•		

3.4.2	A detailed assessment is not req		ons to and from			
Connectivity	the building and site are not sub					
3.4.3 Streets	No new roads are proposed as part of the modification application and as such, it is considered that no detailed assessment is required.					
3.5 Public open		alled assessifiert is required	J.			
3.5.1 Open	_	required because there ar	e no changes			
space	A detailed assessment is not required because there are no changes proposed to the locality in terms of approved open space provisions.					
network	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	A new public park called Neil	Street Park is shown to t	he east to be			
	separated from the site by a n					
	development will not have signi					
	locality.	•	·			
3.5.2 Design cr	iteria for public open spaces					
Neil Street		There is no change to the	Yes			
Park	 Activation of ground floor 	approval given in relation				
	commercial uses along New	to parklands of the				
	Road 2 and development to the	locality.				
	north; and	_				
	• create a sense of place (Refer					
	Figure 17).					
	C1. Provide a minimum	A new public park known	Yes			
	1,500sqm public open space -	as Neil Street Park is				
	Neil Street Park as shown in	shown to the east to be				
	Figure 18. separated from the site by					
	C2. Neil Street Park is to be in a new road to be					
	public ownership. constructed.					
		The development is				
		oriented towards the				
		future park area and				
		connections to and from				
		the park and the				
		development site are				
		retained.				
		The additional shadows				
		created by the				
		development resulting				
		from the increase in				
		building height will not				
		have adverse impact				
		towards the park due to				
		location.				
3.6 Built form						
3.6.1 Built	Opportunities for taller buildings	As per figure 26, the site	Yes			
form network	have been identified. These	is identified as having a				
	sites spatially locate important	main street height				
	places within the Precinct such	corridor.				
	as key entry point and parks					
	(Refer Figure 26).	This is retained.				
3.6.2 Built	The building footprints	Compliance is achieved	Yes			
Form	indicated on Figure 27	or retained.				

Tepresent the preferred building configuration. Buildings are to be designed in accordance with Section 3.8 - Site Specific Controls. 3.7 Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Max. 75m
be designed in accordance with Section 3.8 – Site Specific Controls. 3.7 Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Building Please refer to the significant degree. Site specific development does not deviate from the approved layout to any significant degree. The maximum horizontal length of the building is 59 metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. No Satisfactory given that the approval is not being altered. There are no other concerns raised.
Section 3.8 – Site Specific Controls. Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) No Satisfactory given that the approved. There is no change to the variation. Storeys = Max. 75m the max. horizontal length of the building is 59 metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. No Satisfactory given that the approval is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised. Building Please refer to the
3.7 Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Max. 75m The max. horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development application any significant degree. 3.7 Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications and significant degree. Availation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. Satisfactory given that the approval is not being altered. There are no other concerns raised.
3.7 Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. Significant degree. 1
3.7 Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Site amalgamation matters have been addressed in earlier development applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. The maximum horizontal length of the building is 59 metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. In response to this, Building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
amalgamation applications. The matter concerning site amalgamation or allotment size does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Building short need to be revisited. 9 to 12 storeys = Maximum horizontal length of the building is 59 metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. Satisfactory given that the approval is not being altered. No Satisfactory given that the approval is not being altered. There are no other concerns raised.
does not need to be revisited. 3.8 Site specific controls General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Building Controls Building envelopes Max. 75m Horizontal Length of the building is 59 metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. Building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
General Controls Building envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Building Building Please refer breaks Building Please refer breaks Building Building Building Please refer breaks Building Please refer breaks The maximum horizontal length of the building is 59 metres (Building
General Controls Building envelopes Storeys = Maximum Max. 75m Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Norizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Date of the building is 59 metres (Building is 59 m
envelopes Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Building Without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Building Storeys = Max. 75m Max. 75m Max. 75m Max. 75m The Max. 55m The max. horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer to the building is 59 metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. Satisfactory given that the approval is not being altered. There are no other concerns raised.
Maximum Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Max. 75m Max. 75m Max. 55m The max. horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Building Building Building Building Building Horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Building Building Building Building Building Please refer breaks Max. 75m Metres (Building A only). A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. In response to this, Building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any podium) Horizontal Length of Buildings (above any building without substantial articulation shall Building Building Building Building Please refer breaks A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. Building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
Length of Buildings (above any podium) No Satisfactory given that the approval is horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Date of Building Storeys = Max. 55m The max. horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer to the store is independent of the variation. A variation of 4 metres or 7.27% is identified. In response to this, Building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
Buildings (above any podium) The max. horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Max. 55m The max. horizontal length of any building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised. Satisfactory given that the approval is not being altered. There are no other concerns raised.
(above any podium) The max. horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks The max. horizontal length of any building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
podium) horizontal length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks horizontal length of any building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
length of any building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks Building A in its form is approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks building without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks building approved. There is no change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
without substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks to the variation. Change to the variation. There are no other concerns raised.
substantial articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks to the
articulation shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks to the
shall not exceed 45m Building Please refer breaks to the
Building Please refer breaks to the
Building Please refer breaks to the
breaks to the
detailed
Block
controls
Solar Access A4 Jacet 200 programmed Vac
Residential Min. 2 hours At least 283 apartments Yes
direct receive sunlight or 77.9%.
sunlight
access to Generally satisfactory.
70% of
apartments
between
9.00am to
4.00pm at the winter
solstice (22
June).
Street Activation
Pitt Street • Fully Compliance is achieved. Yes
and Terminal activate at No change to the
Place least 2 approval granted except
storeys with for changes to the size of
commercial/r commercial tenancies
etail uses. and shape.
B4 zone This is achieved.

	1	N.A.Land		
		Minimum non residential GFA equivalent to 40% of the ground floor building footprint area. B6 Zone Minimum	The two apartments on the ground floor of Building B are removed from the development and replaced with retail / commercial floor space. The apartments facing Neil Street are not subject to significant change.	Yes
		non-residential GFA equivalent to 20% of the ground floor building footprint area except for the site at the southeast corner of Neil Street and new Road 1 where the minimum requirement for street activation is 50% of the ground floor building footprint	Retail floor space is generally consistent with earlier approvals granted.	Yes
	estern Side New Road	area. Area between Terminal Place and Neil Street to be intermittently activated as a secondary active frontage	Street activation is retained generally as per the approval given.	Yes
He Alc	eet Wall ight ong Pitt eet	3 storey podium with a minimum height of 11m and	Street wall heights are retained. Street setbacks are not subject to change.	Satisfactory

		maximum		
		14m.		
	Parking	Parking must	The basement car park is	Yes
		be provided	satisfactory in terms of	
		in the	location and access.	
		basement		
		underground.	The basement cannot be	
		Underground	increased in size.	
		parking is not		
		permitted to		
		encroach into		
		the setback		
		areas or		
		under public		
		open space		
		areas.		
		Please refer		
		to Part G -		
		Parking and		
		Access		
	Building Envelo			
	Commercial /		The depth of the	Yes
	retail (above	(unless	commercial premises	
	podium)	specified in	does not exceed 24	
	podiam,	Section 3.8).	metres.	
	Residential	• Max 22m		
	rtoolaoritiai	(unless	The depth of the	Yes
		specified in	residential components of	
		Section 3.8).	the development does not	
-	Public	Vehicle	exceed 18.5 metres.	
	Domain	access		
	Interface	should not	Satisfactory. No change	
	Vehicle	ramp along	to the approval.	
	Access	boundary		
	, 100033	alignments		
		facing a		
		street or		
		public open		
		•		
	Awning	space. Awnings	Awnings are retained	Yes
	Along Pitt	should be	generally as per the	
	•	provided	original approvals	
		•	granted.	
	Eastern Edge	along Pitt	g. s	
	of Boulevard	Street.		
	Park	• Min. 3m		
		deep. •		
		Preferred		
		minimum		
		soffit height		
		of 3.3m. •		
		Slim vertical		
		fascias /		

		1	1
	eaves not		
	more than		
	300mm in		
	height.		
	• Wrap		
	awnings		
	around		
	corners		
	where a		
	building is		
	sited on a		
	street corner.		
Site and	Unless	Satisfactory.	Yes
Building	otherwise	•	
Design	specified in		
2 00.9	this DCP,		
	please refer		
	to the NSW		
	Apartment		
	Design Guide		
	(ADG) for		
	design of		
	apartments/		
	mix use		
	building		
	design.		
Stormwater	Merrylands	Satisfactory	Yes
Management	Neil Street		
Managomont	Precinct is		
	affected by		
	the 1 in 100		
	year flood.		
	Roads and		
	open space		
	network have		
	been		
	designed as		
	overland flow		
	path to		
	manage the		
	impact of		
	flooding. To		
	ensure		
	appropriate		
	flood		
	management		
	·		
	Width and		
	location of		
	the overland		
	flow path to		
	be in		
	DC III		

		T	
	accordance with Section 3.4 and 3.8. • Please refer to Part G Stormwater.		
BLOCK C	C1. Primary active frontages are to be provided where shown in Figure 38.	Satisfactory.	Yes
3.8.3 Site and building design	C2. Primary active frontages are to be vibrant and inviting.	Satisfactory. Generally, no change is proposed to likely street activity.	Yes
	C3. Development should comply with Block B Height Plan which indicates the maximum number of permissible storeys (Refer Figure 38).	The height limit is shown on Figure 38. The development is non compliant with the provisions in storeys and in metres.	No Satisfactory subject to a condition.
		The development is approved and heights in storeys have been established and determined under Development Consent 2015/220/1 and associated modifications granted thereafter.	
		The introduction of a common area onto the roof of Tower A provides an additional storey to the development.	
		A reduction in the floor to floor heights is recommended for Tower Buildings A and B to reduce the variation to the overall height of the buildings. This is addressed as a condition attached to the recommendation for Panel consideration.	
	C4. Provide setbacks as shown in Figure 39.	Setbacks for the site are governed by the earlier consents issued.	Yes

		nd parking is not croach into the	There are no changes occurring to street setbacks in any of the Buildings A, B, C and D. Satisfactory. There is no change to the size of the basement car	Yes
			park or setbacks.	
	C6. Driveways crossings are along Pitt Street		Satisfactory and not subject to change.	Yes
	C7. Driveways and vehicular crossings are to be provided from New Road 1. Indicative locations are shown in Figure 38.		Compliance is achieved and not subject to change.	Yes
			The height in storeys is	
	Building Heigh Along Pitt Street	Max. 16 storeys (Refer Figure 38)	table are complied with. Generally, the building is not being altered in terms	No for height. Can condition. All other provisions
	Terminal Place	Max. 12 storeys (Refer Figure 38)		
	Gladstone Street	Max. 8 storey	building depths or built form.	
	Corner of Gladstone Street and New Road 1 B4 Zone -	Ground floor and above Residential Ground floor and first floor • Commercial / retail Second floor and above • Commercial / retail / Residential	The only issue to address is that of height in storeys and metres which is covered within the primary report.	

B6	Zone -	Ground floor	
	v Road 1	Commercial	
	· rtodd i	/ retail	
		All floors	
		above	
		ground floor	
		Commercial	
		/ residential	
D. ii	dina Envo		
		ope Depth	
	nmercial /	Max 22m	
reta			
	dential on		
all	floors		
abo			
	ium		
	back	1	
Stre		Pitt Street -	
Set	back	0m	
		Gladstone	
		Street - Min.	
		2.5m	
		Terminal	
		Place - Min.	
		0m	
		New Road 1 -	
		Min. 2.5m	
Stre	et Wall	3 storey	
Hei		podium with	
Alo			
Stre		height of 11m	
		and	
		maximum	
		14m	
Aw	ning	Min. 3m deep	
Alo	•		
Stre	0		